arXiv:math/9902091v1 [math.AG] 15 Feb 1999

ON THE K-THEORY OF THE CYCLIC QUIVER VARIETY

M. VARAGNOLO and E. VASSEROT[1]

1. Introduction and notations.

In [N1] Nakajima has defined a new class of varieties, called **quiver varieties**, associated to any quiver. In the particular case of the Dynkin quiver of finite type A, these varieties are related to partial flag manifolds. For any quiver with no edge loops, he proved in [N2] that the corresponding simply laced Kac-Moody algebra acts on the top homology groups via a convolution product. The purpose of this paper is to compute the convolution product on the equivariant K-groups of the cyclic quiver variety, generalizing the previous works [GV],[V]. It is expected that equivariant K-groups should give an affinization of the quantized enveloping algebra of the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. Similar algebras, called **toroidal algebras**, have already been studied in the cyclic case (e.g. [GKV], [VV]). Surprisingly the convolution operators we get do not satisfy exactly the relations of the quantized toroidal algebra. We obtain a twisted version, denoted by $\mathbf{U}_{q,t}$, of the latter. Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and two parameters $q, t \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Then, $\mathbf{U}_{q,t}$ is the complex unital associative algebra generated by $\mathbf{x}^{+}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{x}^{-}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{h}^{\pm}_{i,\pm l}$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The relations are expressed in term of the formal series

$$\mathbf{x}^{+}_{i}(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{x}^{+}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{-}_{i}(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{x}^{-}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}^{\pm}_{i}(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \mathbf{h}^{\pm}_{i,\pm k} \cdot z^{\mp k},$$

as follows

$$\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i,0}\,\mathbf{h}^{-\varepsilon}_{i,0} = 1, \qquad [\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z), \mathbf{h}^{\tau}_{j}(w)] = 0$$

$$(1 - q^{-1}t^{-1})\,[\mathbf{x}^{+}_{i}(z), \mathbf{x}^{-}_{j}(w)] = \delta_{ij}\,\epsilon(z/w)\big(\mathbf{h}^{+}_{i}(w) - \mathbf{h}^{-}_{i}(z)\big)$$

$$(z - qtw)^{\tau}\,\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z)\,\mathbf{x}^{\tau}_{i}(w) = (qtz - w)^{\tau}\,\mathbf{x}^{\tau}_{i}(w)\,\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z)$$

$$(tz - w)^{\tau}\,\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(z)\,\mathbf{x}^{\tau}_{i}(w) = (z - qw)^{\tau}\,\mathbf{x}^{\tau}_{i}(w)\,\mathbf{h}^{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(z)$$

$$(z^{\varepsilon} - qtw^{\varepsilon})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(w) = (qtz^{\varepsilon} - w^{\varepsilon})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(w)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z)$$

$$(tz^{\varepsilon} - w^{\varepsilon})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(z)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(w) = (z^{\varepsilon} - qw^{\varepsilon})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(w)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(z)$$

$$t^{\tau}\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_{1})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_{2})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}(w) - \big(q^{\tau}t^{\tau} + 1\big)\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_{1})\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}(w)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_{2}) +$$

---

[1] Both authors are partially supported by EEC grant no. ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D20, 19E08, 17B37.

$$+q^{\tau}\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i+\tau}(w)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_1)\,\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z_2)+\{z_1\leftrightarrow z_2\}=0$$

$$[\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{i}(z),\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon}_{j}(w)]=0 \qquad \text{if} \quad i\neq j, j\pm 1,$$

where $\epsilon(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and $\varepsilon,\tau\in\{+1,-1\}$. Observe that we recover the usual Drinfeld relations after the specialization $q=t$. Our work relies very much on [N2],[N3]. In Section 2 we make several recollections. Theorem 1 is not stated explicitely in [N2] but Nakajima told us it was known to him. We mention it here since it extends and clarifies some result in [L]. The convolution product is computed in Sections 3 and 4. Another reason to give both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is the general philosophy mentioned in [GKV] and in Nakajima's works that convolution algebras associated to moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on surfaces should lead to affinization of affine algebras and their quantum analogues.

2. Quiver varieties.

2.1. Let us recall a few definitions from [N2]. Fix a finite subgroup $\Gamma\subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathrm{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional representations of Γ and let $L\in\mathrm{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$ be the obvious 2-dimensional representation. Let $X(\Gamma)=\{S_k\,|\,k=1,2,...,n\}$ be the set of the simple representations of Γ. Given finite dimensional vector spaces V,W set

$$M_{W,V}=\mathrm{Lin}\,(V,L\otimes V)\oplus\mathrm{Lin}\,(W,\wedge^2L\otimes V)\oplus\mathrm{Lin}\,(V,W).$$

The group $GL(V)$ acts on $M_{W,V}$ as follows : for any $g\in GL(V)$,

$$g\cdot(B,i,j)=\big((1\otimes g)\circ B\circ g^{-1},(1\otimes g)\circ i,j\circ g^{-1}\big).$$

Let us consider the map

$$\mu_{W,V}\ :\quad M_{W,V}\to\mathrm{Lin}\,(V,\wedge^2L\otimes V),\quad (B,i,j)\mapsto B\wedge B-i\circ j,$$

where $B\wedge B$ is the projection of $B\circ B\in\mathrm{Lin}\,(V,L^{\otimes 2}\otimes V)$ to $\mathrm{Lin}\,(V,\wedge^2L\otimes V)$. A triple $(B,i,j)\in\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)$ is **stable** if and only if there is no nontrivial subspace $V'\subseteq\mathrm{Ker}\,j$ such that $B(V')\subseteq L\otimes V'$. Let $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^s$ be the set of stable triples. The group $GL(V)$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^s$ (see [N2, Lemma 3.10]). Suppose now that W,V are Γ-modules. Then $M_{W,V}$ is endowed with an obvious Γ-action. Let $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}\subseteq\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^s$ be the fixpoint variety. Let $GL_\Gamma(V)$ and $V_k=\mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(S_k,V)$ be the Γ-invariants. Let

$$\Lambda_{W,V}=\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}/GL_\Gamma(V)\quad\text{and}\quad N_{W,V}=\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{\Gamma}//GL_\Gamma(V)$$

be the Nakajima varieties. By construction, $\Lambda_{W,V}$ is a smooth variety (or the empty set). Put $\Lambda_W=\bigcup_V\Lambda_{W,V}$ and $N_W=\bigcup_V N_{W,V}$. Consider the morphism $\pi\,:\,\Lambda_{W,V}\to N_{W,V}$ induced by the chain of maps

$$\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}\hookrightarrow\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{\Gamma}\to\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{\Gamma}//GL_\Gamma(V).$$

2.2. Let us now recall a few results from [N2],[L]. A triple $(B,i,j) \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)$ is **costable** if and only if there is no subspace $V' \subseteq V$ such that

$$B(V') \subseteq L \otimes V', \qquad \operatorname{Im} i \subseteq \wedge^2 L \otimes V' \quad \text{and} \quad V' \neq V.$$

Let $\mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^c$ be the set of costable triples and put

$$\mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^{s,c} = \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^c \cap \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^s.$$

For any triple $\xi = (B,i,j) \in \Lambda_{W,V}$ the **dual**, ξ^*, is the triple $(B^*, j^*, i^*) \in \Lambda_{W^*,V^*}$, where

$$B^* = \wedge^2 L \otimes B^t, \quad j^* = \wedge^2 L \otimes j^t, \quad i^* = \wedge^2 L \otimes i^t,$$

and ^{t} stands for the transposed map. Since $W^* \simeq W$ and $V^* \simeq V$ as Γ-modules, we will view ξ^* as an element of $\Lambda_{W,V}$. Then, $\xi \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^s$ if and only if $\xi^* \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^c$.

Lemma 1. *Fix $\xi \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^\Gamma$.*
(i) If ξ is stable or costable then the stabilizer of ξ in $GL_\Gamma(V)$ is trivial.
(ii) Moreover, the stabilizer of ξ in $GL_\Gamma(V)$ is trivial and the orbit $GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi$ is closed if and only if ξ is stable and costable.
(iii) The orbit $GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi$ is closed if and only if there are subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subseteq V$ and triples $\xi_1 \in \mu_{W,V_1}^{-1}(0)^{s,c,\Gamma}$, $\xi_2 \in \mu_{\{0\},V_2}^{-1}(0)^\Gamma$, such that

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_2, \qquad \xi = \xi_1 \oplus \xi_2 \quad \textit{and} \quad GL_\Gamma(V_2) \cdot \xi_2 \textit{ is closed.}$$

Moreover the splitting $\xi = \xi_1 \oplus \xi_2$ is unique.

Proof: Part (i) is proved in [N2, Lemma 3.10]. Part $(ii) \Leftarrow$ is proved in [N2, Proposition 3.24]. Part $(ii) \Rightarrow$ is stated without proof in [L, Section 2]. Let us prove it. Given a triple $\xi = (B,i,j) \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^\Gamma$, let $\xi^0 = (B^0, i^0, j^0)$ be a representative of the unique closed orbit in $\overline{GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi}$. Fix a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^\times \to GL_\Gamma(V)$ such that

$$\xi^0 = \lim_{t \to 0} \big(\lambda(t) \cdot \xi\big). \tag{2.1}$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ set

$$V^n = \{v \in V \mid \lambda(t) \cdot v = t^n v, \quad \forall t\}.$$

Since the limit (2.1) is well-defined we have

$$\operatorname{Im} i \subseteq \wedge^2 L \otimes \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n, \qquad \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V^n \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} j, \qquad B(V^n) \subseteq L \otimes \bigoplus_{m \geq n} V^m \quad \forall n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi \text{ is costable} \;\Rightarrow\; V = \textstyle\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n \\ &\xi \text{ is stable} \;\Rightarrow\; \textstyle\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V^n = \{0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Hence, if $\xi \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^{s,c,\Gamma}$ then $\xi = \xi^0$, i.e. the orbit $GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi$ is closed. Part $(iii) \Rightarrow$ is proved in [L, Lemma 2.30]. The decomposition $\xi = \xi_1 \oplus \xi_2$ is unique since V_1 (resp. V_2) is the smallest (resp. the biggest) subspace of V such that

$$B(V_1) \subseteq L \otimes V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Im}\,(i) \subseteq V_1 \quad (\text{resp.} \quad B(V_2) \subseteq L \otimes V_2 \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\, j).$$

Let us prove Part $(iii) \Leftarrow$. Hence, fix a triple $\xi \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)$ admitting a splitting $\xi = \xi_2 \oplus \xi_2$ as in (iii). Let ξ^0 be a representative of the unique closed orbit in $\overline{GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi}$. Fix a splitting $V = V_1^0 \oplus V_2^0$, $\xi^0 = \xi_1^0 \oplus \xi_2^0$, as in Part $(iii) \Rightarrow$. Fix a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^\times \to GL_\Gamma(V)$ such that $\lim_{t \to 0}(\lambda(t) \cdot \xi) = \xi^0$. Put

$$\bar{V}_a^0 = \lim_{t \to 0} \lambda(t)(V_a) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\xi}_a^0 = \lim_{t \to 0} (\lambda(t) \cdot \xi_a) \qquad \forall a = 1, 2.$$

1. First, suppose that $\lambda(t)(V_1) = V_1$ for all t, and hence that $\bar{V}_1^0 = V_1$. The characterization of $\bar{V}_a^0$ and V_a^0 above implies that $V_1^0 \subseteq \bar{V}_1^0$ and $\bar{V}_2^0 \subseteq V_2^0$. Now, $\bar{\xi}_1^0 \in \overline{GL_\Gamma(V_1) \cdot \xi_1} = GL_\Gamma(V_1) \cdot \xi_1$, and thus $V_1^0 = \bar{V}_1^0 = V_1$. Then, a dimension count gives $V_2^0 = \bar{V}_2^0$, and, in particular, $V = \bar{V}_1^0 \oplus \bar{V}_2^0$. Consider the unipotent group

$$U_\lambda = \{u \in GL_\Gamma(V) \mid \lim_{t \to 0} (\lambda(t) u \lambda(t)^{-1}) = 1\}.$$

Up to conjugating λ by an element $u \in U_\lambda$ such that $u(\bar{V}_a^0) = V_a$ if $a = 1, 2$, we can suppose that $\lambda = \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ where λ_a is a one-parameter subgroup of $GL_\Gamma(V_a)$. Then, since ξ_1, ξ_2 have closed orbits, we get

$$\xi^0 = \lim_{t \to 0} \big(\lambda_1(t) \cdot \xi_1 \oplus \lambda_2(t) \cdot \xi_2\big) \in GL_\Gamma(V_1) \cdot \xi_1 \oplus GL_\Gamma(V_2) \cdot \xi_2 \subseteq GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi.$$

2. Generally, fix an element $u \in U_\lambda$ such that $u(\bar{V}_1^0) = V_1$ and consider the one-parameter subgroup $\lambda'(t) = u \lambda(t) u^{-1}$. We have

$$\lambda'(t)(V_1) = V_1 \quad \forall t \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to 0} (\lambda'(t) \cdot \xi) = u \xi^0.$$

Thus, Part 1 implies that $u\xi^0 \in GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi$. Hence the orbit of ξ is closed. □

2.3. Fix $W, V \in \mathrm{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$. Fix a torus $T \subset GL_\Gamma(L)$ and put $G_W = GL_\Gamma(W) \times T$. The obvious action of T on L induces an action of G_W on $M_{W,V}$ as follows

$$(g, z) \cdot (B, i, j) = \big((z \otimes 1) \circ B, (z \otimes 1) \circ i \circ g^{-1}, g \circ j\big), \quad \forall (g, z) \in G_W.$$

This action descends to an action of G_W on the variety $\Lambda_{W,V}$. On the other hand the action of Γ on $L = \mathbb{C}^2$ extends to $\mathbb{P}^2$ in such a way that the line at infinity, l_∞, is preserved. Let $X_{W,V}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Γ-equivariant torsion free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)) \simeq V$ as a Γ-module and ϕ is a Γ-invariant isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{E}_{|l_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{l_\infty} \otimes W.$$

Put $X_W = \bigcup_V X_{W,V}$. Let (e_x, e_y) be the canonical basis of L. For any triple $\xi = (B, i, j) \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^\Gamma$ we consider the following Γ-equivariant complex of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2$:

$$(2.3)_\xi \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-l_\infty) \otimes V \xrightarrow{a_\xi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \big((L \otimes V) \oplus W\big) \xrightarrow{b_\xi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l_\infty) \otimes \wedge^2 L \otimes V,$$

where

$$a_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} zB - xe_x - ye_y \\ zj \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_\xi = \big(zB - xe_x - ye_y,\, zi\big),$$

and x, y, z are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that $l_\infty = \{z = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^0_\xi$, $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$, and $\mathcal{H}^2_\xi$, be the cohomology sheaves of the complex $(2.3)_\xi$. Then (see [N3, Lemma 2.6])

- (a) $\mathcal{H}^0_\xi = \{0\}$,
- (b) $\xi \in \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{c,\Gamma}$ if and only if the map b_ξ is surjective,
- (c) $\xi \in \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$ if and only if the map a_ξ is fiberwise injective.

Considering the complex $(2.3)_{\xi^*}$ simultaneously for all ξ we get a complex of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$. Since the group $GL_\Gamma(V)$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$, this complex descends to a complex on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \Lambda_{W,V}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}$. The cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{C})$ vanish by (a), (b), and the sheaf $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$ is Γ-equivariant. Since $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{C})$ vanishes, there is a Γ-invariant isomorphism

$$\Phi \,:\, \mathcal{U}_{|l_\infty \times \Lambda_{W,V}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}_{|l_\infty \times \Lambda_{W,V}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{l_\infty \times \Lambda_{W,V}} \otimes W.$$

A pair $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)$ is said to be **universal** if for any family of Γ-equivariant torsion free sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ parametrized by a variety S such that

- (d) for all $s \in S$ we have a Γ-invariant isomorphism $H^1\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{E}_{|\mathbb{P}^2 \times \{s\}}(-l_\infty)\big) \simeq V$,
- (e) we have a Γ-invariant trivialisation $\phi \,:\, \mathcal{E}_{|l_\infty \times S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{l_\infty \times S} \otimes W$,

there is a unique map $i_S \,:\, S \to \Lambda_{W,V}$ with $(i_S^*\mathcal{U}, i_S^*\Phi) \simeq (\mathcal{E}, \phi)$. Let $X^0_{W,V}$ and X^0_W be the subsets of isomorphism classes of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \phi)$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free. For any $z \in T$ let $\alpha(z)$ be the corresponding automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$. The group G_W acts on $X_{W,V}$ in such a way that

$$(g, z) \cdot (\mathcal{E}, \phi) = \big(\alpha(z)^*\mathcal{E}, g \circ \alpha(z)^*\phi\big), \qquad \forall (g, z) \in G_W.$$

This action preserves the subset $X^0_{W,V} \subseteq X_{W,V}$. For any variety X let S^nX be the n-th symmetric product and put $SX = \bigcup_n S^nX$.

Theorem 1. *There are bijections of G_W-sets*

$$\Lambda_{W,V} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{W,V} \quad \textit{and} \quad N_W \xrightarrow{\sim} X^0_W \times (S\mathbb{C}^2)^\Gamma$$

such that the map $\pi \,:\, \Lambda_W \to N_W$ is identified with the map

$$(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \mapsto \big((\mathcal{E}^{**}, \phi), \operatorname{sup}(\mathcal{E}^{**}/\mathcal{E})\big).$$

Moreover $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)$ is a universal pair.

Proof: 1. Given a triple $\xi = (B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{c,\Gamma}$, let ξ^0 be a representative of the unique closed orbit in $\overline{GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi}$. Fix a splitting $\xi^0 = \xi^0_1 \oplus \xi^0_2$, $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, as in Lemma 1(*iii*), and fix a one-parameter subgroup $\lambda \,:\, \mathbb{C}^\times \to GL_\Gamma(V)$ such that $\xi^0 = \lim_{t\to 0}\big(\lambda(t) \cdot \xi\big)$. ¿From (2.2) we have

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n, \quad \text{where} \quad V^n = \{v \in V \,|\, \lambda(t) \cdot v = t^n v, \quad \forall t\}.$$

Then ξ^0 is the triple $\big(B^0, i^0, j\big)$, where $B^0 = \lim_{t\to 0}(\lambda(t)\cdot B)$ and i^0 is the component of the map i in $\mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(W, \wedge^2 L \otimes V^0)$. Moreover,

$$W_1 = W, \qquad V_1 = V^0, \qquad \xi_1^0 = \big(B^0_{|V_1}, i^0, j\big),$$

$$W_2 = \{0\}, \qquad V_2 = \textstyle\bigoplus_{n\geq 1} V^n, \qquad \xi_2^0 = \big(B_{|V_2}, 0, 0\big).$$

Thus, we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to (2.3)_{\xi_2^0} \to (2.3)_\xi \to (2.3)_{\xi_1^0} \to 0.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^2_\xi = \{0\}$ since ξ is costable and $\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_2^0} = \{0\}$ since $(2.3)_{\xi_2^0}$ is a Koszul complex. Thus,

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \to \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1^0} \to \mathcal{H}^2_{\xi_2^0} \to 0 \tag{2.4}$$

is an exact sequence. Since ξ_1^0 is stable and costable, Claims (b) and (c) imply that $\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1^0}$ is a locally free sheaf. Since $\mathcal{H}^2_{\xi_2^0}$ has a finite length, the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$ is torsion free and its double dual is $\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi_1^0}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^0_\xi$ vanishes we have a Γ-isomorphism

$$\phi_\xi \,:\, \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi|l_\infty} = \mathcal{H}^1\big((2.3)_{\xi|l_\infty}\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{l_\infty} \otimes W.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi$ is torsion free and is trivial on l_∞, we have $H^i\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(-l_\infty)\big) = \{0\}$ if $i = 0, 2$ (see [N3, Lemma 2.3]). Tensoring $(2.3)_\xi$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-l_\infty)$ we obtain a complex of acyclic sheaves quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^1_\xi(-l_\infty)$. It gives $H^1\big(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(-l_\infty)\big) \simeq V$. Hence we have a map

$$\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma} \to X_{W,V}, \quad \xi \mapsto (\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi^*}, \phi_{\xi^*}),$$

which descends to a map $\Psi \,:\, \Lambda_{W,V} \to X_{W,V}$.

2. It is proved in [N3, Section 2.1] that Ψ is surjective. The case of families is identical. Let us sketch it for the convenience of the reader. Fix an algebraic variety S, put $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^2 \times S$, and let $\rho \,:\, \mathbb{P} \to S$ be the projection. Fix a familly $\mathcal{E}$ of Γ-equivariant torsion free sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2$ parametrized by S endowed with Γ-isomorphisms

$$\psi \,:\, R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_S \otimes V \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \,:\, \mathcal{E}_{|l_\infty \times S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{l_\infty \times S} \otimes W.$$

There is a (relative) Beilinson spectral sequence converging to $\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)$ with E_1-term

$$E_1^{-i,j} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-il_\infty) \boxtimes R^j\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^i_{\mathbb{P}/S}((i-1)l_\infty)\big),$$

where $\Omega^i_{\mathbb{P}/S}$ is the relative bundle of i-forms, and $i, j = 0, 1, 2$. Then, [N3, Lemma 2.3] states that the spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2 term, giving a Γ-equivariant complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-l_\infty) \boxtimes R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-2l_\infty)\big) \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}/S}\big) \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(l_\infty) \boxtimes R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)\big),$$

such that $Ker\,a = Coker\,b = \{0\}$ and $Ker\,b/Im\,a = \mathcal{E}$. Now, ψ and ϕ give Γ-isomorphisms

$$R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)\big) \simeq R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-2l_\infty)\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \otimes V$$

$$R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}/S}\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S \otimes (L \otimes V \oplus W),$$

and the maps a, b, can be expressed as a_ξ, b_ξ, in $(2.3)_\xi$. Let $(\mathcal{U}', \Phi')$ be the pull-back of $(\mathcal{U}, \Phi)$ to $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$. We have constructed a map $j_S : S \to \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$ such that $(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \simeq (j_S^*\mathcal{U}', j_S^*\Phi')$. If the sheaf $R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)\big)$ is no longer trivial but satisfies (d), fix an open covering $(S_k)_k$ of S such that $R^1\rho_*\big(\mathcal{E}(-l_\infty)\big)_{|S_k}$ is trivial for all k. The corresponding maps $S_k \to \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma} \to \Lambda_{W,V}$ glue together. Let i_S be the resulting map.

3. Let us prove that Ψ is injective. Fix $\xi^1, \xi^2 \in \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$ such that $\Psi(\xi^1) \simeq \Psi(\xi^2)$. By [OSS, Lemma II.4.1.3] the isomorphism lifts to an isomorphism of the complexes $(2.3)_{\xi^1} \simeq (2.3)_{\xi^2}$. This isomorphism is a triple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in GL_\Gamma(V) \times GL_\Gamma(L \otimes V \oplus W) \times GL_\Gamma(V)$. Considering the fibers at the points $[1:1:0]$, $[0:1:0]$, and $[1:0:0]$, we get $\alpha = \gamma$ and $\beta(u \otimes v) = u \otimes \alpha(v)$ for all $u \in L$, $v \in V$. Then, since the isomorphim is compatible with the trivialisations ϕ_{ξ^1*} and ϕ_{ξ^2*}, the map β fixes W. Hence, $\xi^2 = \alpha \cdot \xi^1$. We are done. In particular, the map i_S associated to a family parametrized by S is unique.

4. Now, recall that points in $N_{W,V}$ are in bijection with closed $GL_\Gamma(V)$-orbits in $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^\Gamma$. By Claims (b), (c), we know that Ψ maps $\mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,c,\Gamma}/GL_\Gamma(V)$ to $X^0_{W,V}$. Thus the second claim in the theorem follows from Lemma 1(*iii*). By construction π maps the $GL_\Gamma(V)$-orbit of a triple $\xi \in \mu^{-1}_{W,V}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$ to the unique closed orbit in $\overline{GL_\Gamma(V) \cdot \xi}$. Thus the third claim follows from the exact sequence (2.4). $\square$

REMARKS. 1. Observe that (d) and (e) guarantee that the family $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over S, by [H], since all geometric fibers of $\mathcal{E}$ over S have the same Hilbert polynomial.
2. Observe also that Part 3 of the proof implies that elements of $X_{W,V}$ do not have automorphisms.
3. Finally, observe that the map π above is the same as the one used in [B].

COROLLARY. *The fibers of the map* $\pi : \Lambda_{W,V} \to N_{W,V}$ *are isomorphic to the* Γ*-fixpoint sets of a product of punctual Quot-schemes. In particular,* π *is a proper map.* $\square$

3. The convolution algebra.

3.1. For any linear algebraic group G and any quasi-projective G-variety X let $K_G(X)$ and $R(G)$ be respectively the complexified Grothendieck group of G-equivariant coherent sheaves on X and the complexified Grothendieck group of finite dimensional G-modules. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a G-equivariant coherent sheaf on X, let $\mathcal{E}$ denote also its class in $K_G(X)$. Similarly, for any $V \in \mathrm{Rep}\,(G)$, let V denote also the class of V in $R(G)$. Recall that if $X' \subseteq X$ is a closed subvariety, then $K_G(X')$ is identified with the complexified Grothendieck group of the Abelian category of coherent sheaves on X supported on X'. Then, let $[X'] \in K_G(X)$ be the class of the structural sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ of X'. Suppose now that X is smooth and that $\pi : X \to Y$ is a proper G-equivariant map, with Y a quasi-projective (possibly singular) G-variety. Let $Z = X \times_Y X$ be the fiber product, endowed with the diagonal action of G. If $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, let $p_{ij} : X^3 \to X^2$ be the projection along the factor not named. Obviously, $Z = p_{13}\big(p_{12}^{-1}(Z) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(Z)\big)$. Thus, we have the convolution map

$$\star : K_G(Z) \times K_G(Z) \to K_G(Z), \qquad (x, y) \mapsto Rp_{13\,*}\big((p_{12}^* x) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} (p_{23}^* y)\big).$$

It is known that $(K_G(Z), \star)$ is an associative algebra with unit $[\Delta]$, where $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$ is the diagonal, see [CG]. Similarly we consider the map

$$\star : K_G(Z) \times K_G(X) \to K_G(X), \qquad (x, y) \mapsto Rp_{1\,*}\big(x \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} (p_2^* y)\big),$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the projections of $X \times X$ onto its factors. Then, $K_G(X)$ is a $K_G(Z)$-module. Now, suppose that G is reductive and that $z \in G$ is a central element such that the fixpoint subvariety X^z is compact (and smooth). Let $\iota : X^z \hookrightarrow X$ and $q_1 : X \times X^z \to X$ be the obvious maps. Let $K_G(X)_z$ and $R(G)_z$ be the localized rings with respect to the maximal ideal associated to z. The direct image morphism $R\iota_* : K_G(X^z)_z \to K_G(X)_z$ is invertible by the Localization Theorem. Hence, since q_1 is proper there is a well-defined convolution product

$$\star : K_G(X)_z \times K_G(X)_z \to K_G(X)_z, \qquad (x, y) \mapsto Rq_{1\,*}\big(x \boxtimes (R\iota_*)^{-1}(y)\big),$$

and the Kunneth theorem [CG, Theorem 5.6.1] holds. In particular, if $[\Delta] \in K_G(X) \otimes_{R(G)} K_G(X)$ then $K_G(X)_z$ is a projective $R(G)_z$-module and

$$K_G(X \times X)_z \simeq K_G(X)_z \otimes_{R(G)_z} K_G(X)_z.$$

For more details on the convolution product see [CG]. We introduce two more notations. For any equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ and any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ set $\Lambda_z \mathcal{E} = \sum_i (-z)^i \wedge^i \mathcal{E}$, where $\wedge^i$ stands for the usual wedge power, and let $D\mathcal{E}$ be the determinant. If z is a formal variable it is well-known that Λ_z, D, induce maps $D : K_G(X) \to K_G(X)$, $\Lambda_z : K_G(X)[[z]] \to K_G(X)[[z]]$, such that for all $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in K_G(X)$ we have

$$D(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}) = D(\mathcal{E}) D(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_z(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}) = \Lambda_z(\mathcal{E}) \Lambda_z(\mathcal{F}).$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in K_G(X)$ have the same rank then $\Lambda_z \mathcal{E} (\Lambda_z \mathcal{F})^{-1}$ has an expansion in $K_G(X)[[z]]$ and $K_G(X)[[z^{-1}]]$. For simplicity we put $\Lambda \mathcal{E} = \Lambda_1 \mathcal{E}$. If V, W are G-modules such that ΛW is non zero, let $\Lambda(V - W)$ be the class of $\Lambda V (\Lambda W)^{-1}$ in the fraction field of $R(G)$.

3.2. We use the convolution product in the following situation. Consider the fiber product $Z_W = \Lambda_W \times_{N_W} \Lambda_W$. Given $V^a \in \mathrm{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$ and $\xi^a = (B^a, i^a, j^a) \in \mu_{W,V^a}^{-1}(0)^\Gamma$, $a = 1, 2$, put $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$ and form the complex

$$(3.1)_\xi \quad \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, V^2) \xrightarrow{a_\xi} \begin{matrix} \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, L \otimes V^2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(W, \wedge^2 L \otimes V^2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, W) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{b_\xi} \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, \wedge^2 L \otimes V^2),$$

where

$$a_\xi\,:\,f\mapsto(B^2\circ f-f\circ B^1,\,f\circ i^1,\,-j^2\circ f)$$

$$b_\xi\,:\,(g,i,j)\mapsto B^2\wedge g+g\wedge B^1+i\circ j^1+i^2\circ j.$$

Taking the complex $(3.1)_\xi$ simultaneously for all ξ we get an equivariant complex of G_W-sheaves over $\Lambda_{W,V^1}\times\Lambda_{W,V^2}$. Suppose now that $V^1=V^2=V$. It is proved in [N2, Section 6] that the 0th and the 2nd cohomology sheaves vanish. Thus, the first cohomology sheaf, $\mathcal{H}^1$, is locally free. Moreover, Nakajima has constructed a section of $\mathcal{H}^1$ vanishing precisely on the diagonal $\Delta_{W,V}\subseteq\Lambda_{W,V}\times\Lambda_{W,V}$. Thus

$$[\Delta_{W,V}]=\Lambda\mathcal{H}^1\in K_{G_W}(\Lambda_{W,V})\otimes_{R(G_W)}K_{G_W}(\Lambda_{W,V}).$$

An element $z=(q_0,t_0)\in T$ is said to be **general** if for all $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$q_0^m t_0^n=1\quad\Rightarrow\quad m=n=0.$$

The motivation for this technical condition is the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2. *If z is general, then Λ_W^z is contained in $\pi^{-1}(0)$. In particular, it is compact.*

Proof: It suffices to prove that $N^z_{W,V}=\{0\}$. Given a representative ξ of a closed orbit fixed by z, we consider the splitting $\xi=\xi^1+\xi^2$ introduced in Lemma 1(iii). Then, ξ^2 is identified with a point in $(S\mathbb{C}^2)^\Gamma$. Since q_0 and t_0 are not roots of unity, necessarily $\xi^2=0$. Thus, we can suppose that $\xi=(B,i,j)$ is stable and costable. Suppose $V\neq\{0\}$. Fix $g\in GL_\Gamma(V)$ such that $g\cdot\xi=z\cdot\xi$. Put $B=B_x\otimes e_x+B_y\otimes e_y$. By costability there is a non-zero element $v\in V$ of the form $mi(w)$ where $w\in W$ and m is a word in B_x,B_y, such that $B(v)=0$. Since $q_0B_x=gB_xg^{-1}$, $t_0B_y=gB_yg^{-1}$, $q_0t_0i=g\,i$, and since z is general, we have $g(v)=\lambda v$ with $\lambda\neq 1$. Now we have also $j\,g=j$ and, thus, $j(v)=0$. This is in contradiction with the stability of ξ. □

Thus, if $z\in T$ is general by Section 3.1 we have an isomorphism

$$K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W\times\Lambda_W)_z\simeq\mathrm{End}_{\,R(G_W)_z}K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)_z.$$

3.3. For any k let $C_k^+\subseteq\Lambda_W\times\Lambda_W$ be the variety of the pairs $(\xi^1,\xi^2)\in\Lambda_{W,V^1}\times\Lambda_{W,V^2}$, where $\xi^a=(B^a,i^a,j^a)$, $a=1,2$, is such that V^2 admits a B^2-stable Γ-submodule isomorphic to V^1, containing $\mathrm{Im}\,(i^2)$, with $V^2/V^1\simeq S_k$, and ξ^1 is isomorphic to the restriction of ξ^2 to V^1. Let C_k^- be obtained by exchanging the components of C_k^+. The varieties $C_k^\pm$ are called **Hecke correspondences**. They are closed subvarieties of Z_W (see [N2]). Let $\mathcal{V}=\mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}\times_{GL_\Gamma(V)}V$ be the **universal bundle** on $\Lambda_{W,V}$ and let $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_W}\otimes W$ be the trivial sheaf. The sheaves $\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W}$, are obviously $G_W\times\Gamma$-equivariant. Let $\mathcal{V}^a$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{V}$ by the a-th projection $p_a\,:\,\Lambda_W\times\Lambda_W\to\Lambda_W$, and let $\mathcal{W}$ denote also the trivial sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_W\times\Lambda_W}\otimes W$. The restriction of $\mathcal{V}^1$ to C_k^+ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{V}^2$ (resp. the restriction of $\mathcal{V}^2$ to C_k^- is a subbundle of $\mathcal{V}^1$). Let $\mathcal{L}_k^\pm$ be the quotient sheaf : it is the extension by zero to Z_W of an invertible sheaf on $C_k^\pm$. Put

$$\theta=L^*-\wedge^2L^*-1\in R(G_W\times\Gamma).$$

Fix $q, t \in R(T)$ such that $L = tS^{-1} + qS$. For any Γ-module V or any Γ-equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ put

$$V_k = \mathrm{Lin}_{\,\Gamma}(S_k, V), \qquad v_k = \dim V_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_k = \mathrm{Lin}_{\,\Gamma}(S_k, \mathcal{E}).$$

If $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, let us define the following classes in $K_{G_W}(Z_W)$:

$$\Omega^+_{k,s} = (\mathcal{L}^+_k)^s D\big(\theta\mathcal{V}^2 + (\wedge^2 L^*)\mathcal{W}\big)^*_k$$

$$\Omega^-_{k,s} = (\mathcal{L}^-_k)^{s+h_k}\gamma_k,$$

where $h_k = \dim(\theta^* V^1 + W)_k$ and $\gamma_k = q^{v^1_k - v^1_{k-1}} t^{v^1_k - v^1_{k+1}}$. Let $\Theta^{\pm}_k(z)$ be the expansion of

$$\Theta_k(z) = (-1)^{h_k}\gamma_k \Lambda_z\big((\wedge^2 L^* - 1)(\theta\mathcal{V}^* + \mathcal{W}^*)\big)_k$$

in $K_{G_W}(Z_W)[[z^{\mp 1}]]$ (an element in $K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)$ is identified with its direct image in $K_{G_W}(Z_W)$ via the diagonal embedding $\Lambda_W \hookrightarrow Z_W$). More precisely, let $\Theta^{\pm}_{k,s} \in K_{G_W}(Z_W)$ be such that

$$\Theta^{\pm}_k(z) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^{\pm}_{k,s}\, S_k\, z^{\mp s}.$$

3.4. We now consider the cyclic quiver only. Thus, put $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2i\pi/n} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2i\pi/n} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma = \big\{\gamma^k \,|\, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\big\}$. Fix a generator S of $X(\Gamma)$ and set $S_k = S^{\otimes k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We fix $T = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let us consider the sum

$$\varepsilon_k = \sum_V (-1)^{v_k}[\Delta_{W,V}],$$

where $[\Delta_{W,V}] \in K_{G_W}(Z_W)$ is the class of the diagonal. The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 2. *If $z = (q_0, t_0)$ is general then the map*

$$\mathbf{x}^+_{k,s} \mapsto \Omega^+_{k,s} \star \varepsilon_k \star \varepsilon_{k-1}, \quad \mathbf{x}^-_{k,s} \mapsto \Omega^-_{k,s} \star \varepsilon_{k+1} \star \varepsilon_k, \quad \mathbf{h}^{\pm}_{k,s} \mapsto -\Theta^{\pm}_{k,s} \star \varepsilon_{k-1} \star \varepsilon_{k+1},$$

extends uniquely to an algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{U}_{q_0,t_0}$ to the specialization of $K_{G_W}(Z_W)$ at the point z. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

In the rest of the paper Γ and T are as in Section 3.4.

4.1. Fix a maximal torus $T(W) \subseteq GL_\Gamma(W)$ and set $T_W = T(W) \times T$. Put $R_W = R(T_W)$ and $K_W = K_{T_W}(\Lambda_W)$. Let $\bar{R}_W$ be the fraction field of R_W and set $\bar{K}_W = \bar{R}_W \otimes_{R_W} K_W$. Fix $z \in T$ and consider the fixpoint varieties Z^z_W, Λ^z_W. The action by convolution of $K_{G_W}(Z_W)$, $K_{G_W}(Z^z_W)$, on $K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)$, $K_{G_W}(\Lambda^z_W)$, gives two algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{G_W}(Z_W) \to \mathrm{End}_{\,R(G_W)} K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W) \\ &K_{G_W}(Z^z_W) \to \mathrm{End}_{\,R(G_W)} K_{G_W}(\Lambda^z_W). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The bivariant version of the Localization Theorem [CG, Theorem 5.11.10] gives isomorphisms

$$K_{G_W}(Z_W)_z \simeq K_{G_W}(Z_W^z)_z \quad \text{and} \quad K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)_z \simeq K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W^z)_z$$

which commute with the convolution product. Thus, it identifies the maps (4.1) after localization with respect to z. Suppose now that z is general. Then $\Lambda_W^z \subseteq \pi^{-1}(0)$ and, thus, $Z_W^z = \Lambda_W^z \times \Lambda_W^z$. In particular $K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)_z$ is a faithful $K_{G_W}(Z_W)_z$-module by Section 3.2. Observe that (see [CG; Theorem 6.1.22] for instance)

$$K_W = R_W \otimes_{R(G_W)} K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W).$$

Observe also that, since R_W is free over $R(G_W)$,

$$\text{End}_{\,R_W}(K_W) = R_W \otimes_{R(G_W)} \text{End}_{\,R(G_W)} K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W).$$

Moreover, $\text{End}_{\,R_{W,z}} K_{W,z}$ is a projective $R_{W,z}$-module by Sections 3.1 and 3.2. Thus, we have a chain of injective algebra homomorphisms

$$K_{G_W}(Z_W)_z \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\,R(G_W)_z} K_{G_W}(\Lambda_W)_z \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\,R_{W,z}} K_{W,z} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\,\bar{R}_W} \bar{K}_W.$$

Let $x_{k,s}^{\pm} \in \text{End}_{\,\bar{R}_W} \bar{K}_W$ be the operator such that

$$x_{k,s}^{\pm}(x) = Rp_{1*}\big(\Omega_{k,s}^{\pm} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} p_2^*(x)\big), \qquad \forall x \in K_W.$$

The chain of embeddings above sends the image of $\Omega_{k,s}^{\pm}$ in $K_{G_W}(Z_W)_z$ to $x_{k,s}^{\pm}$. Hence, it suffices to compute the relations between the operators $x_{k,s}^{\pm}$.

4.2. Let Π be the set of all partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq ...)$. A partition is identified with its Ferrer diagram, whose boxes are labelled by pairs in $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ be the ring of regular functions on L. The Γ-action on L induces an action of Γ on $\mathbf{A}$. If $\lambda \in \Pi$ let $J_\lambda \subset \mathbf{A}$ be the subspace linearly spanned by the monomials $x^i y^j$ such that $(i,j) \notin \lambda$. The quotient space $V_\lambda = \mathbf{A}/J_\lambda$ is a Γ-module. Let us consider the linear operators $B_\lambda^* \in \text{Lin}_{\,\Gamma}(V_\lambda, L \otimes V_\lambda)$ and $i_\lambda^* \in \text{Lin}_{\,\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, V_\lambda)$ such that

$$B_\lambda^* = e_x \otimes x + e_y \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad i_\lambda^*(1) = 1 + J_\lambda.$$

Put $\xi_\lambda^* = (B_\lambda^*, i_\lambda^*, 0)$ and let $\xi_\lambda = (B_\lambda, 0, j_\lambda) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C},V_\lambda}$ be the dual triple (the representation V_λ is identified with its dual). If $W \in \text{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$ is one-dimensional then $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C},V_\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{W,W\otimes V_\lambda}$ and, thus, ξ_λ is identified with a point in $\Lambda_{W,W\otimes V_\lambda}$. The action of T_W on Λ_W has only finitely many fixpoints. More precisely, fix $k_1, ..., k_w \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ such that $W \simeq \bigoplus_{a=1}^w S_{k_a}$ as a Γ-module. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Fix $W, V \in$ Rep$\,(\Gamma)$.*
(i) We have $\Lambda_W^{T(W)} \simeq \prod_{a=1}^w \Lambda_{S_{k_a}}$.
(ii) Moreover if W is one-dimensional then $\Lambda_{W,V}^T$ is the set of all the triples ξ_λ such that $W \otimes V_\lambda = V$ as a Γ-module.

Proof: Part (ii) is well-known, since for all $W \in X(\Gamma)$, the variety Λ_W is a subvariety of the Hilbert scheme of all finite length subschemes in $\mathbb{C}^2$ (see [N3, Section

2.2]). Fix $\xi = (B, i, j) \in \Lambda_W^{T(W)}$ and $h \in T_W$, h generic. Fix an isomorphism $T_W \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^w$ and put $h = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_w) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^w$. Set $W_a = \mathrm{Ker}\,(h - t_a) \subseteq W$ for all $a = 1, 2, ..., w$. Let $g \in GL_\Gamma(V)$ be such that

$$(B, i \circ h^{-1}, h \circ j) = \big((1 \otimes g) \circ B \circ g^{-1}, (1 \otimes g) \circ i, j \circ g^{-1}\big). \tag{4.2}$$

If $a = 1, 2, ..., w$ let $V_a \subseteq V$ be the generalized eigenspace of g associated to the eigenvalue t_a^{-1}. Then,

$$B(V_a) \subseteq L \otimes V_a \qquad \forall a.$$

If $\bigoplus_a V_a \neq V$ then there exists a non-zero complex number z such that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(g - z^{-1}) \neq \{0\}$ and $z \neq t_a$ for all a. Moreover, (4.2) implies that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(g - z^{-1}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\, j$ and is B-stable, which contradicts the stability of the triple ξ. Hence, $\xi = \bigoplus_a (B_a, i_a, j_a)$ where

$$B_a : V_a \to L \otimes V_a \qquad i_a : W_a \to \wedge^2 L \otimes V_a, \qquad j_a : V_a \to W_a$$

are the restrictions of B, i, j. In particular, (B_a, i_a, j_a) is stable. $\square$

4.3. Given a multipartition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_w) \in \Pi^w$, put $V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{a=1}^w S_{k_a} \otimes V_{\lambda_a}$. The space V_λ is endowed with the structure of a Γ-module as in Section 4.2. Put $B_\lambda = \bigoplus_{a=1}^w B_{\lambda_a} \in \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V_\lambda, L \otimes V_\lambda)$ and $j_\lambda = \bigoplus_{a=1}^w j_{\lambda_a} \in \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(W, V_\lambda)$. Let $\xi_\lambda = (\xi_{\lambda_1}, ..., \xi_{\lambda_w}) \in \Lambda_{W,V_\lambda}^{T_W}$ be the class of the triple $(B_\lambda, 0, j_\lambda)$. Let us consider the map $\iota_\lambda : \{\bullet\} \to \Lambda_W$, $\bullet \mapsto \xi_\lambda$, and put

$$b_\lambda = R\iota_{\lambda\,*}(1) \in K_W, \qquad T_\lambda = \iota_\lambda^*(T_{\xi_\lambda}\Lambda_W) \in R_W.$$

The elements b_λ, $\lambda \in \Pi^w$, form a basis of the $\bar{R}_W$-vector space $\bar{K}_W$ by the Localization Theorem. Let $p : \Lambda_W^{T_W} \to \{\bullet\}$ be the projection to a point and let $\iota : \Lambda_W^{T_W} \to \Lambda_W$ be the embedding. The map p is obviously proper. We consider the pairing

$$\langle\ \ |\ \ \rangle : \quad \bar{K}_W \otimes \bar{K}_W \to \bar{R}_W, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto Rp_*\big((R\iota_*)^{-1}(x) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} (R\iota_*)^{-1}(y)\big).$$

Lemma 4. *If $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi^w$ then $\langle b_\lambda | b_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}\Lambda(T_\lambda^*)$.*

Proof: Let $q : \Lambda_W \to \{\bullet\}$ be the projection. The map q is not proper, but the direct image of a sheaf with compact support is well-defined. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_\lambda | b_\mu \rangle &= Rq_*\big(R\iota_{\lambda*}(1) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R\iota_{\mu*}(1)\big) \\ &= R(q \circ \iota_\lambda)_*\big(\iota_\lambda^* R\iota_{\mu*}(1)\big), \end{aligned}$$

by the projection formula. The lemma follows since $\iota_\lambda^* R\iota_{\mu*}(1) = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}\Lambda(T_\lambda^*)$. $\square$

4.4. The Hecke correspondence $C_k^\pm$ is smooth (see [N2]). If $\xi_{\mu\lambda} = (\xi_\mu, \xi_\lambda) \in C_k^\pm$ let $N_{\mu\lambda} \in R_W$ be the class of the fiber of the normal bundle to $C_k^\pm$ in $\Lambda_W \times \Lambda_W$ at $\xi_{\mu\lambda}$ and let $\Omega_{\mu\lambda}^{(s)} \in R_W$ be the restriction of $\Omega_{k,s}^\pm$ to $\xi_{\mu\lambda}$. Let us use the following notation :

$$\mu \overset{k}{\to} \lambda \qquad \iff \qquad \xi_{\mu\lambda} \in C_k^+.$$

Then, for all $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ we have

$$x^{\pm}_{k,s}(b_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu} \Omega^{(s)}_{\mu\lambda} \Lambda_{\mu\lambda}\, b_\mu,$$

for some $\Lambda_{\mu\lambda} \in \bar{R}_W$, where the sum is over all the multipartitions μ such that $\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda$ (resp. $\lambda \xrightarrow{k} \mu$).

Lemma 5. *If* $\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda$ *or* $\lambda \xrightarrow{k} \mu$ *then* $\Lambda_{\mu\lambda} = \Lambda(N^*_{\mu\lambda} - T^*_\mu) \in \bar{R}_W$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(s)}_{\mu\lambda}\Lambda_{\mu\lambda} &= \langle b_\mu | b_\mu \rangle^{-1} \langle x^{\pm}_{k,s}(b_\lambda) | b_\mu \rangle \\
&= \langle b_\mu | b_\mu \rangle^{-1} R(p \times p)_* \Big(p_2^* R\iota_{\lambda *}(1) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} p_1^* R\iota_{\mu *}(1) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \Omega^{\pm}_{k,s} \Big) \\
&= \langle b_\mu | b_\mu \rangle^{-1} (\iota_\mu \times \iota_\lambda)^* (\Omega^{\pm}_{k,s}) \\
&= \Omega^{(s)}_{\mu\lambda} \Lambda(T^*_\mu)^{-1} \Lambda(N^*_{\mu\lambda}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5 can be made more explicit as follows. Recall that $R(T) = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$ and $L = tS^{-1} + qS$. Put $R(T(W)) = \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, ..., X_w^{\pm 1}]$, in such a way that $W = \sum_a X_a S_{k_a}$ in $R(T_W \times \Gamma)$.

Lemma 6. *For all* $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ *the following equality holds*

$$\iota_\lambda^* \mathcal{V} = \sum_a \sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda_a} q^i t^j X_a S_{k_a+i-j}.$$

Proof: Recall that the fixpoint $\xi_\lambda \in \Lambda^{T_W}_{W,V_\lambda}$ is the class of the triple $(B_\lambda, 0, j_\lambda)$ as in Section 4.3. Recall also that the action of $GL_\Gamma(V_\lambda)$ on $\mu^{-1}_{W,V_\lambda}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$ is free. Let $\rho : T_W \to GL_\Gamma(V_\lambda)$ be the group homomorphism such that for any $g = (h, z) \in T_W$,

$$\Big((z \otimes 1) \circ B_\lambda, h \circ j_\lambda \Big) = \Big(\big(1 \otimes \rho(g)\big) \circ B_\lambda \circ \rho(g)^{-1}, j_\lambda \circ \rho(g)^{-1} \Big). \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, V_λ may be viewed as a $T_W \times \Gamma$-module. We must prove that the class of V_λ in the Grothendieck ring is

$$\sum_a \sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda_a} q^i t^j X_a S_{k_a+i-j}.$$

If $h = (t_1, ..., t_w) \in T(W)$ and $z = (q_0, t_0) \in T$ then (4.3) implies that $\rho(g)$ acts on $S_{k_a} \otimes (x^i y^j + J_\lambda)$ by the scalar $q_0^i t_0^j t_a$. The proof is finished. □

For all $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ set $V_\lambda = \sum_a \sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda_a} q^i t^j X_a S_{k_a+i-j}$,

$$R_\lambda = \sum_k \sum_{\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda} V_{\lambda/\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda = \sum_k \sum_{\lambda \xrightarrow{k} \mu} V_{\mu/\lambda},$$

where $V_{\lambda/\mu} = V_\lambda - V_\mu$. Recall that

$$\theta = t^{-1}S + q^{-1}S^{-1} - 1 - q^{-1}t^{-1} \in R(T_W \times \Gamma)$$

(see Section 3.3). For any $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ put $H_\lambda = \theta^* \, V_\lambda + W \in R(T_W \times \Gamma)$.

Lemma 7. *For all $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ we have $H_\lambda = I_\lambda - qtR_\lambda$.*

Proof: If $\xi = (B, i, j) \in \mu_{W,V}^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}$, then the fiber of $(2.3)_\xi$ at the point $[x : y : z] = [0 : 0 : 1]$ is the Γ-equivariant complex

$$V \xrightarrow{a_\xi} W \oplus (L \otimes V) \xrightarrow{b_\xi} (\wedge^2 L) \otimes V.$$

By Claim (2.3) (c), there is no 0-th cohomology. Let H^i_λ be the i-th cohomology group corresponding to $\xi = \xi_\lambda$, and, as usual, put $H^i_{\lambda,k} = \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(S_k, H^i_\lambda)$. We have $H^1_\lambda - H^2_\lambda = H_\lambda$. Moreover there are isomorphisms

$$p_2^{-1}(\xi_\lambda) \cap C^+_k \simeq \mathbb{P}(H^{2*}_{\lambda,k}), \qquad (\xi^1, \xi_\lambda) \mapsto \wedge^2 L^* \otimes (V_\lambda/V^1)^*_k,$$

$$p_2^{-1}(\xi_\lambda) \cap C^-_k \simeq \mathbb{P}(H^1_{\lambda,k}), \qquad (\xi^1, \xi_\lambda) \mapsto \big(a_{\xi^1}(V^1/V_\lambda)\big)_k,$$

(for the second map, observe that $(L \otimes V^1)_k = (L \otimes V_\lambda)_k$). Thus, $T_W \times \Gamma$ acts on $\mathbb{P}(H^1_{\lambda,k})$ and $\mathbb{P}(H^2_{\lambda,k})$ with finitely many fixpoints corresponding to the triples ξ_μ such that $\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda$ and $\lambda \xrightarrow{k} \mu$. The lemma follows. $\square$

Recall that for any $V \in R(T_W \times \Gamma)$, the element $V_k \in R(T_W)$ is such that $V = \sum_k V_k S_k$. Moreover, for any $\lambda \in \Pi^w$ and any k put $v_{\lambda,k} = \dim V_{\lambda,k}$, $h_{\lambda,k} = \dim H_{\lambda,k}$, and

$$\gamma_{\lambda,k} = q^{v_{\lambda,k} - v_{\lambda,k-1}} t^{v_{\lambda,k} - v_{\lambda,k+1}}.$$

Lemma 8. *If $\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda$ then*

$$\Omega^{(s)}_{\lambda\mu} = (V_{\lambda/\mu,k})^{s+h_{\lambda,k}} \gamma_{\lambda,k} \qquad \textit{and} \quad \Lambda_{\lambda\mu} = \Lambda(q^{-1}t^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu} R^*_\mu - V_{\lambda/\mu} I^*_\lambda)_0$$

$$\Omega^{(s)}_{\mu\lambda} = V^{s}_{\lambda/\mu,k} \, D(-q^{-1}t^{-1} H_{\lambda,k}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Lambda_{\mu\lambda} = \Lambda(q^{-1}t^{-1} V^*_{\lambda/\mu} I_\lambda - V^*_{\lambda/\mu} R_\mu)_0.$$

Proof: Given $V^a \in \mathrm{Rep}\,(\Gamma)$ and $\xi^a = (B^a, i^a, j^a) \in \mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{W,V^a}$, $a = 1, 2$, put $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$ and let us form the complex

$$(4.4)_\xi \quad \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, V^2) \xrightarrow{a_\xi} \begin{matrix} \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, L \otimes V^2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(W, \wedge^2 L \otimes V^2) \\ \oplus \\ \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, W) \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{b_\xi} \begin{matrix} \mathrm{Lin}_\Gamma(V^1, \wedge^2 L \otimes V^2) \\ \oplus \\ \wedge^2 L \end{matrix},$$

where

$$a_\xi \,:\, f \mapsto (B^2 \circ f - f \circ B^1,\ f \circ i^1,\ -j^2 \circ f)$$

$$b_\xi \,:\, (g, i, j) \mapsto \big(B^2 \wedge g + g \wedge B^1 + i \circ j^1 + i^2 \circ j,\ tr_{V^1}(i^1 \circ j) + tr_{V^2}(i \circ j^2)\big).$$

Taking the complex $(4.4)_\xi$ simultaneously for all ξ we get an equivariant complex of T_W-sheaves over $\Lambda_W \times \Lambda_W$. Suppose now that $V^1 \subseteq V^2$ and that $V^2/V^1 \simeq S_k$. It is proved in [N2, Lemma 5.2] that the 0th and the 2nd cohomology sheaves vanish. Thus, the first cohomology sheaf, $\mathcal{H}^1$, is locally free. Moreover Nakajima has constructed a section of $\mathcal{H}^1$ vanishing precisely on the Hecke correspondence C_k^+. Thus, if $\mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda$ we get

$$N_{\mu\lambda} = \big(\theta^*\, V_\mu^* V_\lambda + qtW^* V_\lambda + V_\mu^* W - qt\big)_0.$$

Similarly we get, using the complex $(3.1)_\xi$,

$$T_\mu = \big(\theta^*\, V_\mu^* V_\mu + qtW^* V_\mu + V_\mu^* W\big)_0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{\lambda\mu} &= \Lambda\big(V_{\mu/\lambda} H_\lambda^* - q^{-1}t^{-1}\big)_0 \\ \Lambda_{\mu\lambda} &= \Lambda\big(q^{-1}t^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu}^* H_\lambda - q^{-1}t^{-1}\big)_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The lemma follows from Lemma 7. □

4.5. We now prove the relations. Let $\Theta^\pm_{\lambda,k}(z)$ be the expansion of

$$\Theta_{\lambda,k}(z) = (-1)^{h_{\lambda,k}} \gamma_{\lambda,k} \Lambda_z\big((qt-1)H^*_{\lambda,k}\big)$$

in $R(T_W)[[z^{\mp 1}]]$. Then, consider the operator $h_k^\pm(z)$ such that

$$h_k^\pm(z)(b_\lambda) = \Theta^\pm_{\lambda,k}(z)\, b_\lambda.$$

Put $x_k^\pm(z) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} x^\pm_{k,s} z^{-s}$ for all k.

Lemma 9. *The following relation holds*

$$(1 - q^{-1}t^{-1})[x_k^+(z), x_l^-(w)] = \delta_{kl}\epsilon(z/w)\big(h_k^+(z) - h_k^-(z)\big).$$

Proof: Suppose first that λ, μ, α and β are such that

$$\mu \xrightarrow{k} \alpha, \quad \lambda \xrightarrow{l} \alpha, \quad \beta \xrightarrow{k} \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \xrightarrow{l} \mu.$$

If $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $V_\alpha + V_\beta = V_\mu + V_\lambda$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N^*_{\mu\alpha} + N^*_{\lambda\alpha} - T^*_\alpha \quad &= \big(\theta\, V_\beta V_\alpha^* + q^{-1}t^{-1} W V_\alpha^* + V_\beta W^* - 2q^{-1}t^{-1}\big)_0 \\ &= N^*_{\beta\lambda} + N^*_{\beta\mu} - T^*_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\Lambda_{\mu\alpha}\Lambda_{\alpha\lambda} = \Lambda_{\mu\beta}\Lambda_{\beta\lambda}$. Moreover, a direct computation gives $\Omega^{(0)}_{\mu\alpha}\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\lambda} = \Omega^{(0)}_{\mu\beta}\Omega^{(0)}_{\beta\lambda}$. Hence,

$$[x^+_{k,s}, x^-_{l,t}](b_\lambda) = \delta_{kl}\, C\, b_\lambda,$$

for some $C \in \bar{R}_W$. Let us now compute the constant C. Put $k = l$ and $\mu = \lambda$. Lemma 8 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\lambda\beta}\Lambda_{\beta\lambda} &= \Lambda\big(q^{-1}t^{-1}(V_{\lambda/\beta}R^*_\beta + V^*_{\lambda/\beta}I_\lambda) - V^*_{\lambda/\beta}R_\beta - V_{\lambda/\beta}I^*_\lambda\big)_0 \\ \Lambda_{\lambda\alpha}\Lambda_{\alpha\lambda} &= \Lambda\big(q^{-1}t^{-1}(V_{\alpha/\lambda}R^*_\lambda + V^*_{\alpha/\lambda}I_\alpha) - V^*_{\alpha/\lambda}R_\lambda - V_{\alpha/\lambda}I^*_\alpha\big)_0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{(0)}_{\lambda\beta}\Omega^{(0)}_{\beta\lambda} &= \gamma_{\lambda,k}D(-q^{-1}t^{-1}V^*_{\lambda/\beta}H_\lambda)_0 \\ \Omega^{(0)}_{\lambda\alpha}\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\lambda} &= \gamma_{\alpha,k}D(-q^{-1}t^{-1}V^*_{\alpha/\lambda}H_\alpha)_0.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that, with the notations in Section 3.1, we have $D(\mathcal{E})\Lambda(\mathcal{E}^*) = (-1)^{\dim\mathcal{E}}\Lambda(\mathcal{E})$. Hence we obtain

$$[x^+_{k,s}, x^-_{k,t}](b_\lambda) = (-1)^{h_{\lambda,k}}\gamma_{\lambda,k}\left(-qt\sum_{\lambda\xrightarrow{k}\alpha} V^{s+t}_{\alpha/\lambda,k}\frac{\Lambda\big(q^{-1}t^{-1}V_{\alpha/\lambda}R^*_\lambda + qtV_{\alpha/\lambda}I^*_\alpha\big)_0}{\Lambda\big(V_{\alpha/\lambda}R^*_\lambda + V_{\alpha/\lambda}I^*_\alpha\big)_0} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\beta\xrightarrow{k}\lambda} V^{s+t}_{\lambda/\beta,k}\frac{\Lambda\big(q^{-1}t^{-1}V_{\lambda/\beta}R^*_\beta + qtV_{\lambda/\beta}I^*_\lambda\big)_0}{\Lambda\big(V_{\lambda/\beta}R^*_\beta + V_{\lambda/\beta}I^*_\lambda\big)_0}\right) b_\lambda.$$

Hence, Lemma 9 follows from Lemma 7 and the following fact.

Fact. *Let q and a_i, $i \in I$, be formal variables. Fix a partition $I = I_1 \coprod I_2$. For all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the following 1-form*

$$\omega_s = z^{s-1}\Big(\prod_{j\in I_1}\frac{1-q^{-1}z/a_j}{1-z/a_j}\Big)\Big(\prod_{j\in I_2}\frac{1-qz/a_j}{1-z/a_j}\Big)dz.$$

Then,

$$\frac{res_0\omega_s + res_\infty\omega_s}{1-q^{-1}} = -q\sum_{i\in I_2}a_i^s\Big(\prod_{j\in I_1}\frac{1-q^{-1}a_i/a_j}{1-a_i/a_j}\Big)\Big(\prod_{j\in I_2\setminus\{i\}}\frac{1-qa_i/a_j}{1-a_i/a_j}\Big) +$$

$$+\sum_{i\in I_1}a_i^s\Big(\prod_{j\in I_1\setminus\{i\}}\frac{1-q^{-1}a_i/a_j}{1-a_i/a_j}\Big)\Big(\prod_{j\in I_2}\frac{1-qa_i/a_j}{1-a_i/a_j}\Big).$$

□

Lemma 10. *We have*

$$(w-qtz)^{\pm1}x^\pm_k(w)x^\pm_k(z) = (qtw-z)^{\pm1}x^\pm_k(z)x^\pm_k(w)$$

$$(tw-z)\,x^+_{k+1}(w)x^+_k(z) = (qz-w)\,x^+_k(z)x^+_{k+1}(w)$$

$$(w-tz)\,x^-_{k+1}(w)x^-_k(z) = (z-qw)\,x^-_k(z)x^-_{k+1}(w)$$

$$x^\pm_l(w)x^\pm_k(z) = x^\pm_k(z)x^\pm_l(w) \qquad \textit{if} \quad l \neq k, k\pm1.$$

Proof: For all k, l set

$$A_\nu = \{\mu \in \Pi^w \,|\, \nu \xrightarrow{l} \mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad A'_\nu = \{\mu' \in \Pi^w \,|\, \nu \xrightarrow{k} \mu' \xrightarrow{l} \lambda\}.$$

Then we have

$$x^+_l(w) x^+_k(z)(b_\lambda) = \textstyle\sum_\nu \sum_{\mu \in A_\nu} \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\mu/\nu})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu})\, \Omega^\mu \Lambda^\mu\, b_\nu$$

$$x^+_k(z) x^+_l(w)(b_\lambda) = \textstyle\sum_\nu \sum_{\mu' \in A'_\nu} \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu'})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\mu'/\nu})\, \Omega^{\mu'} \Lambda^{\mu'}\, b_\nu,$$

where $\Lambda^\mu = \Lambda_{\nu\mu} \Lambda_{\mu\lambda}$ and $\Omega^\mu = \Omega^{(0)}_{\nu\mu} \Omega^{(0)}_{\mu\lambda}$. In particular if we have

$$V_{\lambda/\mu} = V_{\mu'/\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\mu/\nu} = V_{\lambda/\mu'}, \tag{4.6}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\mu &= \Lambda\big(\theta\, V_{\mu/\nu} V^*_{\lambda/\mu} - \theta\, V^*_{\mu/\nu} V_{\lambda/\mu}\big)_0\, \Lambda^{\mu'} \\ \Omega^\mu &= D(\theta\, V_{\nu/\mu})_k D(\theta\, V_{\lambda/\mu})_l\, \Omega^{\mu'}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Suppose first that $l \neq k \pm 1$. Then, there is a bijection $A_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} A'_\nu$, $\mu \mapsto \mu'$, such that (4.6) holds. If $l = k$ then (4.7) gives

$$(1 - V^*_{\lambda/\mu} V_{\mu/\nu})(1 - q^{-1} t^{-1} V^*_{\lambda/\mu} V_{\mu/\nu}) \Lambda^\mu = (1 - V_{\lambda/\mu} V^*_{\mu/\nu})(1 - q^{-1} t^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu} V^*_{\mu/\nu}) \Lambda^{\mu'},$$

and $V^{-2}_{\mu/\nu}\, \Omega^\mu = V^{-2}_{\lambda/\mu}\, \Omega^{\mu'}$. Thus

$$(w - qtz)\, \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\mu/\nu})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu})\, \Omega^\mu \Lambda^\mu =$$

$$= (qtw - z)\, \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu'})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\mu'/\nu})\, \Omega^{\mu'} \Lambda^{\mu'}.$$

If $l \neq k, k \pm 1$ then (4.7) gives $\Lambda^\mu = \Lambda^{\mu'}$, $\Omega^\mu = \Omega^{\mu'}$, and the first claim of the lemma follows. Suppose now that $l = k + 1$. Set

$$B_\nu = A_\nu \setminus \{\mu \in A_\nu \,|\, V_{\lambda/\mu} = tS^{-1} V_{\mu/\nu}\}$$

$$B'_\nu = A'_\nu \setminus \{\mu' \in A'_\nu \,|\, V_{\lambda/\mu'} = qS V_{\mu'/\nu}\}.$$

Then,

$$(t - z/w)\Big(x^+_{k+1}(w) x^+_k(z)(b_\lambda) - \textstyle\sum_\nu \sum_{\mu \in B_\nu} \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\mu/\nu})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu})\, \Omega^\mu \Lambda^\mu\, b_\nu\Big) = 0$$

$$(q - w/z)\Big(x^+_k(z) x^+_{k+1}(w)(b_\lambda) - \textstyle\sum_\nu \sum_{\mu' \in B'_\nu} \epsilon(w^{-1} V_{\lambda/\mu'})\, \epsilon(z^{-1} V_{\mu'/\nu})\, \Omega^{\mu'} \Lambda^{\mu'}\, b_\nu\Big) = 0.$$

Now, there is a bijection $B_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} B'_\nu$, $\mu \mapsto \mu'$, such that (4.6) holds. Then, (4.7) implies that if $\mu \in B_\nu$ then

$$(1 - t^{-1} V^*_{\mu/\nu, l} V_{\lambda/\mu, k})\, \Lambda^\mu = (1 - q^{-1} V_{\mu/\nu, l} V^*_{\lambda/\mu, k})\, \Lambda^{\mu'},$$

and $q^{-1}V_{\mu/\nu,l}\,\Omega^{\mu} = t^{-1}V_{\lambda/\mu,k}\,\Omega^{\mu'}$. The formulas for the operators x_k^- are proved in a similar way. It suffices to observe that if $\mu \in A_\nu$, $\mu' \in A'_\nu$, and (4.6) holds, then we have the following identities which are very similar to (4.7) :

$$\Lambda_{\lambda\mu}\Lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda\big(\theta\, V_{\mu/\nu}V^*_{\lambda/\mu} - \theta\, V^*_{\mu/\nu}V_{\lambda/\mu}\big)_0\,\Lambda_{\lambda\mu'}\Lambda_{\mu'\nu}$$

$$\Omega^{(0)}_{\lambda\mu}\Omega^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} = D(\theta V_{\nu/\mu})_k D(\theta V_{\lambda/\mu})_l \Omega^{(0)}_{\lambda\mu'}\Omega^{(0)}_{\mu'\nu}.$$

□

Lemma 11. *We have*

$$h_l^+(w)x_k^+(z) = x_k^+(z)h_l^+(w) \qquad \textit{if} \quad l \neq k, k\pm 1$$

$$(w - qtz)\,h_k^+(w)x_k^+(z) = (qtw - z)\,x_k^+(z)h_k^+(w)$$

$$(tw - z)\,h_{k+1}^+(w)x_k^+(z) = (qz - w)\,x_k^+(z)h_{k+1}^+(w).$$

Proof: For all $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi^w$ we have

$$\Theta_{\lambda,l}(w) = (-1)^{h_{\lambda,l}+h_{\mu,l}}\gamma_{\lambda,l}\gamma_{\mu,l}^{-1}\Lambda_w\big((\theta^* - \theta)V^*_{\lambda/\mu}\big)_{-l}\Theta_{\mu,l}(w).$$

Thus,

$$h_k^+(w)\,x_k^+(z)\,(1 - wz^{-1})(qt - wz^{-1}) = (1 - wz^{-1})(1 - qtwz^{-1})\,x_k^+(z)\,h_k^+(w),$$

and

$$(tw - z)\,h_{k+1}^+(w)\,x_k^+(z) = (qz - w)\,x_k^+(z)\,h_{k+1}^+(w).$$

□

Lemma 12. *We have*

$$t^{\pm 1}\,x_k^+(z_1)x_k^+(z_2)x_{k\pm1}^+(w) + \big((qt)^{\pm 1} + 1\big)x_k^+(z_1)x_{k\pm1}^+(w)x_k^+(z_2) +$$

$$+q^{\pm 1}\,x_{k\pm1}^+(w)x_k^+(z_1)x_k^+(z_2) + \{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $l = k+1$, fix $\lambda, \omega \in \Pi^w$, and consider the following sets

$$A = \{\mu, \nu \in \Pi^w \,|\, \omega \xrightarrow{l} \nu \xrightarrow{k} \mu \xrightarrow{k} \lambda\}$$

$$A' = \{\mu', \nu' \in \Pi^w \,|\, \omega \xrightarrow{k} \nu' \xrightarrow{l} \mu' \xrightarrow{k} \lambda\}$$

$$A'' = \{\mu'', \nu'' \in \Pi^w \,|\, \omega \xrightarrow{k} \nu'' \xrightarrow{k} \mu'' \xrightarrow{l} \lambda\}.$$

1. First, suppose that A, A' and A'' are nonempty. Then, there are bijections

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} A', \quad (\mu, \nu) \mapsto (\mu', \nu')$$

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} A'', \quad (\mu, \nu) \mapsto (\mu'', \nu'').$$

such that

$$V_{\nu/\omega} = V_{\mu'/\nu'}, \quad V_{\mu/\nu} = V_{\nu'/\omega}, \quad V_{\lambda/\mu} = V_{\lambda/\mu'},$$

$$V_{\nu/\omega} = V_{\lambda/\mu''}, \quad V_{\mu/\nu} = V_{\nu''/\omega}, \quad V_{\lambda/\mu} = V_{\mu''/\nu''}.$$

Put

$$\Lambda^{\nu\mu} = \Lambda_{\omega\nu}\Lambda_{\nu\mu}\Lambda_{\mu\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^{\nu\mu} = \Omega^{(0)}_{\omega\nu}\Omega^{(0)}_{\nu\mu}\Omega^{(0)}_{\mu\lambda}.$$

Then, (4.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\nu\mu} &= \Lambda^{\nu''\mu''}\Lambda\big(\theta V^*_{\lambda/\nu}V_{\nu/\omega} - \theta V_{\lambda/\nu}V^*_{\nu/\omega}\big)_0 \\ &= \Lambda^{\nu'\mu'}\Lambda\big(\theta V^*_{\mu/\nu}V_{\nu/\omega} - \theta V_{\mu/\nu}V^*_{\nu/\omega}\big)_0 \\ \Omega^{\nu\mu} &= \Omega^{\nu''\mu''}\Big(D(-\theta V_{\nu/\omega})_k\Big)^2 D(-\theta V_{\nu/\lambda})_l \\ &= \Omega^{\nu'\mu'}D(-\theta V_{\nu/\omega})_k D(-\theta V_{\nu/\mu})_l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\nu\mu}\Lambda^{\nu\mu} &= q^2\Lambda(q^{-1}V^*_{\lambda/\nu,k}V_{\nu/\omega,l} - tV^*_{\lambda/\nu,k}V_{\nu/\omega,l})\Omega^{\nu''\mu''}\Lambda^{\nu''\mu''} \\ &= -q\Lambda(q^{-1}V^*_{\mu/\nu,k}V_{\nu/\omega,l} - tV^*_{\mu/\nu,k}V_{\nu/\omega,l})\Omega^{\nu'\mu'}\Lambda^{\nu'\mu'}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} E(z_1,z_2) &= \epsilon(w^{-1}V_{\nu/\omega})\epsilon(z_1^{-1}V_{\mu/\nu})\epsilon(z_2^{-1}V_{\lambda/\mu})\Omega^{\nu\mu}\Lambda^{\nu\mu} \\ E'(z_1,z_2) &= \epsilon(w^{-1}V_{\mu'/\nu'})\epsilon(z_1^{-1}V_{\nu'/\omega})\epsilon(z_2^{-1}V_{\lambda/\mu'})\Omega^{\nu'\mu'}\Lambda^{\nu'\mu'} \\ E''(z_1,z_2) &= \epsilon(w^{-1}V_{\lambda/\mu''})\epsilon(z_1^{-1}V_{\mu''/\nu''})\epsilon(z_2^{-1}V_{\nu''/\omega})\Omega^{\nu''\mu''}\Lambda^{\nu''\mu''}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E'(z_1,z_2) &= -q^{-1}\frac{z_1 - tw}{z_1 - q^{-1}w}E(z_1,z_2) \\ E''(z_1,z_2) &= q^{-2}\frac{(z_1 - tw)(z_2 - tw)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}w)(z_2 - q^{-1}w)}E(z_1,z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.5) it is easy to see that the expression $E(z_1,z_2)$ has the form

$$E(z_1,z_2) = \frac{z_1 - q^{-1}t^{-1}z_2}{z_1 - z_2}\,S,$$

where the factor S is symmetric in z_1, z_2. Moreover, observe that

$$qt + \frac{(z_1 - tw)(z_2 - tw)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}w)(z_2 - q^{-1}w)} =$$

$$= \frac{(z_1 - tw)(z_2 - qtz_1)}{(z_1 - q^{-1}w)(z_2 - z_1)} + \frac{(z_2 - tw)(z_1 - qtz_2)}{(z_2 - q^{-1}w)(z_1 - z_2)}.$$

Thus we finally get

$$E(z_1,z_2) + (q + t^{-1})E'(z_1,z_2) + qt^{-1}E''(z_1,z_2) + \{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0.$$

2. If A, A' or A'' is the empty set then either $A' \neq \emptyset$ or $A = A' = A'' = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $A' \neq \emptyset$ then, either $A \simeq A'$ and $A'' = \emptyset$, or $A \simeq A''$ and $A' = \emptyset$. Then proceed as in part 1.

$\square$

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was done while the second author was visiting the Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton. The second author is grateful to G. Lusztig for his kind invitation. We are also grateful to V. Ginzburg for his interest and his encouragements.

REFERENCES

[B] Baranovsky, V., *Moduli of Sheaves on Surfaces and Action of the Oscillator Algebra*, preprint-math/9811092.

[CG] Chriss, N., Ginzburg, V., *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1997.

[GKV] Ginzburg, V., Kapranov, M., Vasserot, E., *Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces*, Math. Res. Letters **2** (1995), 147-160.

[GV] Ginzburg, V., Vasserot, E., *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **3** (1993), 67-85.

[H] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. 52, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.

[L] Lusztig, G., *On Quiver Varieties*, Adv. Math. **136** (1998), 141-182.

[N1] Nakajima, I., *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), 365-415.

[N2] Nakajima, I., *Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), 515-560.

[N3] Nakajima, I., *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Preprint (1998).

[OSS] Okonek, C., Schneider, M., Spindler, H., *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Math. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1980.

[V] Vasserot, E., *Affine quantum groups and equivariant K-theory*, Transformation Groups **3** (1998), 269-299.

[VV] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E., *Double-loop algebras and the Fock space*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 133-159.

Michela Varagnolo
Département de Mathématiques
Université de Cergy-Pontoise
2 Av. A. Chauvin
95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex
France
email: varagnol@math.pst.u-cergy.fr

Eric Vasserot
Département de Mathématiques
Université de Cergy-Pontoise
2 Av. A. Chauvin
95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex
France
email: vasserot@math.pst.u-cergy.fr